JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ROBERT JONES			DEFENDANTS NEW HANOVER TOWNSHIP			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff FLORIDA  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			NOTE: IN LAND O	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A MARC E. WEINSTEIN V NORTHBROOK DRIVE, 3 215-953-5200 meweinst	WEINSTEIN LAW FIRI SUITE 280 TREVOSI	M, LLC 1210	Attorneys (If Known	)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		PTF DEF D 1 <b>M</b> 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	PTF DEF incipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	3 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)		2 Incorporated and P of Business In A	Another State	
			Citizen or Subject of a  Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				BASKROPRY	Ontakin to the	
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR	FOR TURNINESSAME!  1 625 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/		28 USC 157	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce	
<ul> <li>□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>□ 151 Medicare Act</li> <li>□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted</li> </ul>	320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal	d	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment	340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability	Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE	RTY 710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits  190 Other Contract  195 Contract Product Liability  196 Franchise	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	<ul> <li>□ 370 Other Fraud</li> <li>□ 371 Truth in Lending</li> <li>□ 380 Other Personal</li> <li>□ Property Damage</li> <li>□ 385 Property Damage</li> <li>Product Liability</li> </ul>	Relations  740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	Exchange  890 Other Statutory Actions  891 Agricultural Acts  893 Environmental Matters  895 Freedom of Information Act	
	Medical Malpractice	<u> </u>	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	PEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	□ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:      463 Alien Detainee     510 Motions to Vacate     Sentence     530 General	Income Security Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision  950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other:  ☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	Actions	30 1		
	moved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Trans Reopened Anott	ner District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON 28 USC sec 1332 Brief description of ca	luse:	are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional st	atutes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		against Pennsylva IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	****	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:    Yes   No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 01/21/2015 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			TORNER OF RECORD			
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	

# Case 2:15-cv-00293-MAK Document 1 Filed 01/21/15 Page 2 of 8

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

430 Belair Avenue Merritt Island, FL 32953 Address of Plaintiff: 2943 North Charlotte St. Gilbertsville, PA 19525 Address of Defendant: Gilbertsville, PA (Montgomery County) Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? NoX (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) NoXYes□ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Date Terminated: Case Number: \_\_ Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ NoX 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? NoX CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: A. Federal Question Cases: 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 1. 

Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. 

Airplane Personal Injury 2. D FELA 3. 

Assault, Defamation 3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. 

Marine Personal Injury 4. 

Antitrust 5. 

Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 5. □ Patent 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 7. 

Products Liability 7. 

Civil Rights 8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos 8. 

Habeas Corpus 9. X All other Diversity Cases 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases (Please specify) Mandamus action under PA law 10. □ Social Security Review Cases 11. 

All other Federal Question Cases ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) , counsel of record do hereby certify: X Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; X Relief other than monetary damages is sought. **DATE: January 21, 2015** Marc E. Weinstein Attorney I.D.# Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case for pending for within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. 79474 **DATE: January 21, 2015** Marc E. Weinstein

# 

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

# CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		
215-953-5200	215-953-5214	meweinstein@comcast.net		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		
January 21, 2015	Marc E. Weinstein	Plaintiff		
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not fall into a		(XXXX)	
commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse management cases.)	side of this form for a detaile	cial or intense management by ed explanation of special	( )	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involved exposure to asbestos.	ving claims for personal inju	ry or property damage from	( )	
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designated for arl	bitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2	2. ( )	
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services de	requesting review of a decis nying plaintiff Social Securi	sion of the Secretary of Health ty Benefits.	( )	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.				
SELECT ONE OF THE F	FOLLOWING CASE MAN	NAGEMENT TRACKS:		
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and servide of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	ril Justice Expense and Del ase Management Track Des we a copy on all defendants. event that a defendant doe shall, with its first appearance, a Case Management Track l	NO.  ay Reduction Plan of this court, consignation Form in all civil cases at the (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the sent agree with the plaintiff regardle, submit to the clerk of court and set Designation Form specifying the track	he time of he reverse ding said rve on the	
V. NEW HANOVED TOWNS	: : :	NO.		
ROBERT JONES	: :	CIVIL ACTION		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

**ROBERT JONES** : CIVIL ACTION

430 Belair Avenue

Merritt Island, FL 32953 : No. \_\_\_\_\_

•

Plaintiff,

:

v.

NEW HANOVER TOWNSHIP

2943 North Charlotte St. Gilbertsville, PA 19525

:

Defendant. :

## **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Robert Jones brings this case in mandamus against his former employer, Defendant New Hanover Township:

#### I. Introduction

Plaintiff served as a police officer and corporal for Defendant. He did so with distinction for approximately thirteen (13) years. After suffering a torn rotator cuff and labrum in January 2014 while on the job, Plaintiff and Defendant agreed to conclude their employment relationship in or about July 2014. Since then, Defendant - shamefully - refuses to provide Plaintiff with his disability pension that he earned and with which he is vested. And so the instant case, arising under Pennsylvania law and initiated in federal court by virtue of this Court's diversity jurisdiction.

## II. Jurisdiction and Venue

- 2. The instant action is initiated pursuant to the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant's contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <a href="Int'l Shoe Co. v. Washington">Int'l Shoe Co. v. Washington</a>, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 3. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania may properly maintain original subject matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest or costs and the parties are citizens of different States.
- 4. Venue is properly laid in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.

### III. Parties

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 6. Plaintiff is an adult individual and citizen of the State of Florida. He was formerly a full-time law enforcement officer with Defendant.
- 7. Defendant is a township in Montgomery County, PA. It is classified as a second-class

township under Pennsylvania law.

## IV. Facts Upon Which Plaintiff Relies for the Relief Sought<sup>1</sup>

- Plaintiff was hired by Defendant as a police officer beginning in or about February
   He was later promoted to corporal.
- 9. Pursuant to Act 600<sup>2</sup>, Defendant has established a New Hanover Township Police Pension Plan ("the Plan"). See Ordinance No. 06-05 (attached hereto as Ex. 1).
- 10. Plaintiff is a vested member of the Plan.
- 11. Plaintiff is eligible for a monthly disability pension benefit under Section 7 of the Plan, "Service-Related Total and Permanent Disability" because he sustained a shoulder injury in the course of duty which precludes him from being a police officer again. The injury occurred in or about January 2014. Plaintiff suffered a torn labrum and rotator cuff while carrying a drug overdose patient.

# V. The Act or Duty the Defendant Is Required To Perform and the Refusal to Perform It

12. Since the time Plaintiff's employment concluded, Plaintiff has made regular and repeated efforts to apply for and secure his monthly disability pension benefit, per Section 7 of the Plan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania has explained that mandamus is an action at law, and particular facts must be pleaded. <u>See Burns v. Board of Dirs.</u>, 748 A.2d 1263 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2000).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  See 53 P.S. §§ 767 -778, the Police Pension Act (Act of May 29, 1956, P.L. (1955) 1804, as amended). This law is commonly known as "Act 600".

- 13. Defendant, however, refuses provide the necessary information and/or papers for Plaintiff to apply for his disability pension, and/or otherwise explain what information is needed and why. Plaintiff's requests for Plan documents such as plan descriptions and annual statements have been ignored.
- 14. Despite repeated requests, Defendant continues to refuse to cooperate with Plaintiff's application for his monthly disability pension benefit, and continues to deny paying Plaintiff said benefit.<sup>3</sup>

## VI. Interest of Plaintiff in the Result

15. Plaintiff has an obvious and direct interest in his right to apply for and receive his monthly disability pension benefit.

## VII. Damages and the Want of Any Other Adequate Remedy at Law

- 16. Each month Plaintiff, per Section 7 of the Plan, is being denied 50% of his approximate \$7,000 final monthly salary.
- 17. Plaintiff has no other adequate remedy at law to compel Defendant to process and approve his monthly disability pension benefit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Auditor General of Pennsylvania, in an August 2013 compliance audit, determined that Defendant had failed to properly fund the Plan in 2011 and 2012. <u>See</u> Compliance Audit Report (http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us/Media/Default/Reports/munNewHanoverTwpPPP080813.pdf) (last visited 1/20/15). This finding only fortifies Plaintiff's concerns that Defendant is intentionally delaying and withholding the monthly pension benefit to which he is entitled.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that this Honorable Court order Defendant to provide Plaintiff with his monthly disability pension benefit, retroactively from the date of his separation from employment, along with costs and other appropriate, authorized relief.

WEINSTEIN LAW FIRM, LLC

By:

Marc E. Weinstein, Esquire

One Northbrook Corporate Center 1210 Northbrook Drive, Suite 280

Trevose, PA 19053

215.953.5200

meweinstein@comcast.net

Counsel to Plaintiff

Dated: January 21, 2015